(1) (4)

P.R. No. 3367/06
Presented by Shri Abhishek Sharma
dated 5/7/06

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR, C.G.

Writ Petition No. 3320 of 2006 SINGLE BENCH

Petitioner : Dr. (Mrs) Reshma Lokesh, aged 38 years, W/o Lokesh Sahu, R/o 6-B, Street No. 1, Sector – 8, Bhilai. Distt- Durg (C.G.)

Vs.

Respondents :

1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through Secretary, Higher Education Directorate , DKS Bhawan, Mantralaya, Raipur (C.G.)
2. Commissioner, Higher Education Directorate, Raipur (C.G.)
3. Principal, Govt. Girls College, Durg.

RECEIVED-COPY
CLERK to A. BILASPUR
5/7/06

OATH COMMISSIONER
APARNA MALVIYA
CG 02/07/06
Bilaspur Chhattisgarh

PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ORDERS/DIRECTIONS IN THE NATURE OF WRITS OF MANDAMUS, CERTIORARY OR ANY OTHER WRIT OR LIKE NATURE

XI-HC-22

(27)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.3320/ सन् 2006

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

7-7-2006

Mr. Kishore Bhaduri, counsel for the petitioner.

Mr. Sumesh Bajaj, Govt. Advocate for the State/respondents, on advance copy.

Heard both the counsel.

By the instant writ petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner has questioned the propriety of the order dated 30th June, 2006 whereby she has been transferred from Government Girls College, Durg to Late Deviprasad Choubey Govt. College, Saaja, District: Durg.

The petitioner is challenging this order on the ground that her husband is a cancer patient, he is taking treatment at Bhilai and they have no issue and there is no other family member to look after her husband. The place where the petitioner has been transferred is at a distance of 98 kms. from his present place of posting, therefore, it is not possible for the petitioner to go to the place of posting and return on the same day daily.

Therefore, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner may be allowed to make a representation to respondent No.1 and in turn, respondent No.1 be directed to decide the same to which Mr. Bajaj, learned Govt. Advocate has no objection.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, the petitioner is allowed to make a representation raising all her grounds to respondent No.1 within 10 days from today, and in turn, respondent No.1 is directed to decide the representation of the petitioner within a period of two months from the date of its receipt after

(पीछे देखिये)

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

(28)

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P. 3320/ **सन्** 2006

..... **विरुद्ध** .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित - 2 -	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

considering all the points raised by the petitioner, in accordance with law. In the mean time, the petitioner shall not be relieved if she is not already relieved, till the decision on the representation.

With the directions aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of.

Consequently, M.(W.)P.No.2549/2006 also stands disposed of.

Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order.

Sd/-
L.C. Bhadoo
Judge

Soma

(पीछे देखिये)